```
1
 1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
 2
                      ATLANTA DIVISION
 3
      UNITED STATES,
 4
                       Plaintiff,
 5
                                      ) Case No.
                    vs.
                                      )1:18-cv-05774-AT
 6
      NANCY ZAK, CLAUD CLARK III,
      ECOVEST CAPITAL, INC., ALAN N. )
 7
      SOLON, ROBERT M. McCULLOUGH,
      RALPH R. TEAL, JR.,
                                      )
                                        VOLUME 1
 8
                       Defendants.
 9
10
                  Videotaped deposition of ALAN SOLON,
      taken pursuant to notice via videoconference at the
11
12
      offices of EcoVest Capital, 700 Monarch Tower, 3424
13
      Peachtree Road NE, Atlanta, Georgia, on Tuesday,
      April 6, 2021, at 9:46 a.m., before Lorraine B.
14
15
      Marino, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified
16
      Realtime Reporter and Notary Public.
17
18
19
20
21
22
                                                                   Exhibit
                                                                     1396
```

```
2
      APPEARANCES: (via videoconference)
 1
                   HARRIS J. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
 2
                   RICHARD G. ROSE, ESQ.
 3
                   ERIC M. ABERG, ESQ.
                   U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
                   TAX DIVISION
 4
                      555 Fourth Street, N.W.
 5
                      Washington, DC 20001
                      202-598-5869
                      harris.j.phillips@usdoj.gov
 6
                      richard.q.rose@usdoj.qov
 7
                      eric.m.aberg@usdoj.gov
                      for Plaintiff
 8
                   NILES A. ELBER, ESQ.
 9
                   CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED
                      One Thomas Circle, N.W.
10
                      Suite 1100
                      Washington, DC 20005
11
                      202-862-7827
                      nelber@capdale.com
12
                      for Defendant Clark
13
                   BENJAMIN J. RAZI, ESQ.
                   SEAN M. AKINS, ESQ.
14
                   NICHOLAS PASTAN, ESQ.
                   COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
15
                      One CityCenter
                      850 Tenth Street, N.W.
16
                      Washington, DC 20001
                      202-662-5908
17
                      brazi@cov.com
                      sakins@cov.com
18
                      npastan@cov.com
                      for Defendants EcoVest, Solon,
19
                      McCullough and Teal
20
21
22
```

## Case 1:18-cv-05774-AT Document 349-59 Filed 02/15/22 Page 3 of 10

```
3
      ALSO PRESENT: (via videoconference)
 1
 2
                    AMANDA REINKEN,
                    Litigation Tech Case Manager
 3
                    DEWEY NELSON,
                    Videographer
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

```
60
 1
      agreement?
 2
         Α.
             Yes.
 3
         Q. Can you see at the top that it is executed
     as of June 8, 2015?
 4
 5
         A. That's what it says, yes.
 6
         Q. And do you see the reference to the
 7
     parties being Christian Academy, the seller, and
     Cypress Cove Marina Holdings, the buyer?
 8
         A. I do.
 9
         Q. Do you see that?
10
         A. I do.
11
12
         Q. So is it fair to say that this purchase
13
     agreement relates to the Cypress Cove deal?
         A. Yes, what would ultimately become Cypress
14
     Cove if it went to market, yes.
15
         Q. Got it. Is it fair to say that this
16
     purchase agreement, the purpose of this purchase
17
     agreement is for EcoVest to acquire an interest
18
     in property that would be used in a core deal?
19
20
         A. It appears, yes, that is correct.
21
         Q. Would you expect that EcoVest employees
22
      would negotiate these purchase agreements in good
```

215 1 A. Okay, thank you. I will jump in. I am asking once you get 2 3 the final work product from Mr. Clark, who, if anyone, is entitled to get a copy of it? 4 A. So the broker-dealers. It's disclosed in 5 the PPM. The broker-dealers have access to it, 6 7 and the potential investors have access to it. It's not hidden. It's fully disclosed. 8 Q. So let's just back up just a touch. Is 9 10 the managing broker-dealer -- that would be Triloma or Arque -- do they get a copy of the 11 12 appraisal Mr. Clark prepares for the core deals? 13 A. In addition to the rest of the due diligence materials as well. 14 15 Q. Now, do the individual broker-dealers that offer the core deals on their platform, do they 16 get a copy of the appraisal that Mr. Clark 17 prepares? 18 19 A. Yes, in addition to the rest of the due 20 diligence materials as well. O. Do the financial advisors -- those are the 21 22 ones that are selling the deals to customers --

do they get a copy of documents, one of which is

the appraisal Mr. Clark prepares?

- A. If the appraisal is disclosed in the PPM, which I believe it is, they have access to it there, as do their investors. But the PPM is the only document that they receive. Their broker-dealer would control the due diligence box, and they would make that decision whether or not they would want their advisors to have access to some of that.
  - Q. And what about the customers? If there is a customer interested in investing in one of the core deals, does that customer have the ability to obtain a copy of the appraisal Mr. Clark prepares?
  - A. It is my understanding the appraisal itself is disclosed in the PPM. Therefore, anyone who receives a PPM has that appraisal. I may be mistaken, but I believe that to be the case.
- Q. And what do you mean when you say it is disclosed in the PPM? Do you mean there is a

```
217
 1
      reference in the PPM to this document or do you
 2
      mean something else?
 3
         A. No. It is printed as an exhibit inside
      the PPM.
 4
         Q. Are you aware of the Box.com Internet
      platform?
 6
 7
         A. Yes.
 8
         Q. Is that one of the ways EcoVest made large
      files available to the broker-dealer community?
 9
10
         A. Yes.
         Q. Now, when it came time to vote, are you
11
12
     aware of the fact that customers, in fact, had
13
     access to the Box.com interface to look at
     documents related to the transaction?
14
15
         A. Yes, purposely.
      Q. Okay. And one of the documents, among
16
     others, in there would be the appraisal Mr. Clark
17
     prepared. Would that be correct?
18
19
         A. Correct.
20
     Q. That's because, is it fair to say, the
21
     appraisal is one of the important supporting
22
      documents for customers to consult when voting?
```

Case 1:18-cv-05774-AT Document 349-59 Filed 02/15/22 Page 8 of 10 218 1 A. It is one of many important documents. Q. So basically, every customer who is voting 2 3 is able to go and pull the qualified appraisal that Mr. Clark prepared from the Box.com 4 platform? 5 6 A. Yes, including all the other relevant due 7 diligence materials either for the other strategies as well. 8 Q. Now, you mentioned counsel was involved in 9 10 vetting the appraiser? Did I hear that right? A. I'm sorry. Could you just repeat it? You 11 12 jumped out for a minute. 13 Q. Sure. Did you say earlier that counsel, legal counsel was involved in helping to vet and 14 select the qualified appraiser? 15 16 A. Yes. What lawyers were those? 17 A. Well, it started when we were with Sirote. 18 19 It continued when we were with Alston & Bird and 20 subsequently Taylor English. 21 Q. Any other law firms you relied on to vet

22

the appraiser?

224 1 O. And EcoVest was the manager for all the core deals; is that right? 2 3 A. Correct. An entity, a sub of EcoVest, not corporate. 4 Q. Fair enough. So an entity that EcoVest owned and controlled was the manager for all the 6 7 core deals? 8 A. Correct. 9 Q. Have you ever heard of the bundle of 10 sticks theory of property ownership? A. No. 11 12 (Discussion off the record.) BY MS. PHILLIPS: 13 Q. Mr. Solon, maybe you didn't hear me. Did 14 15 you hear me correct the first time? 16 A. Yes, bundle of sticks theory. No, I'm not familiar with that. 17 Q. When EcoVest engaged Mr. Clark to prepare 18 a conservation easement appraisal, what were you 19 20 asking him to value? A. I don't know that we are asking him to 21 22 value anything other than to prepare a qualified

225

- 1 appraisal on that property. It is not a request of ours what to value. We make all of the 2 3 information available, as we do for all of our subcontractors, and it is up to the appraiser to 4 decide what documents they want to review, rely 5 6 on or do their own work. Outside of that, there 7 is no relationship about what he is required to do. 8 I am going to stop screen-share because I 9 10 don't have exhibits for a bit. Okay. Easier. 11 12 Q. What is your understanding of the asset 13 that Mr. Clark was supposed to be valuing in his 14 appraisals? 15 A. My understanding is that he is giving us a qualified appraisal, and the rules of a qualified 16 17 appraisal are different than other appraisals. It has different requirements. 18 19
  - Q. Okay. I am asking you your understanding of for Mr. Clark's appraisal, what was the asset being valued.
- 22 A. So he is given all the information to

20

21